



Chartered
Insurance
Institute

AF4

Advanced Diploma in Financial Planning

Unit AF4 – Investment Planning

October 2020 Examination Guide

SPECIAL NOTICES

Candidates entered for the March 2021 examination should study this examination guide carefully in order to prepare themselves for the examination.

Practice in answering the questions is highly desirable and should be considered a critical part of a properly planned programme of examination preparation.

AF4 – Investment planning

Contents

Important guidance for candidates	3
Examiner comments	8
Question paper	11
Model answers	20
Tax tables	27

Published January 2021

Telephone: 020 8989 8464

Email: customer.serv@cii.co.uk

Copyright © 2021 The Chartered Insurance Institute. All rights reserved.

IMPORTANT GUIDANCE FOR CANDIDATES

Introduction

The purpose of this Examination Guide is to help you understand how examiners assess candidates' knowledge and their ability to apply this to a case study scenario. You can then use this understanding to help you in your preparation for the examination.

Before the examination

Study the syllabus carefully

This is available online at www.cii.co.uk. All the questions in the examination are based directly on the syllabus. *You will be tested on the syllabus alone*, so it is vital that you are familiar with it.

There are books specifically produced to support your studies that provide coverage of all the syllabus areas, however you should be prepared to read around the subject. This is important particularly if you feel that further information is required to fully understand a topic or an alternative viewpoint is sought. The reading list which can be found with the syllabus provides valuable suggestions.

Note the assumed knowledge

For the Advanced Diploma in Financial Planning, candidates are assumed to have studied the relevant units of the Diploma in Financial Planning or the equivalent. This knowledge is set out on the relevant syllabus.

Read widely

If you do not have experience in advising clients whose financial needs are relatively sophisticated, *it is quite unrealistic to expect that the study of a single textbook will be sufficient to meet all your requirements*. While books specifically produced to support your studies will provide coverage of all the syllabus areas, you should be prepared to read around the subject. This is important, particularly if you feel that further information is required to fully understand a topic or an alternative viewpoint is sought. It is vital that your knowledge is widened beyond the scope of one book. The reading list which can be found with the syllabus provides valuable suggestions.

Make full use of the Examination Guide

This Examination Guide contains a full examination paper and model answers. The model answers show the types of responses the examiners are looking for and which would achieve maximum marks, *however, you should note that there are alternative answers to some question parts which would also gain high marks.* For the sake of clarity and brevity not all of these alternative answers are shown.

This guide and previous Examination Guides can be treated as ‘mock’ examination papers. Attempting them under examination conditions as far as possible, and then comparing your answers to the model ones, should be seen as an essential part of your exam preparation. The examiner’s comments on candidates’ actual performance in each question provide further valuable guidance. You can obtain copies of the two most recent examination guides free of charge at www.cii.co.uk.

Know the layout of the tax tables

Familiarise yourself with the information contained within the tax tables printed at the back of each Examination Guide. These tax tables will be provided to candidates as part of the examination paper. The tax tables enable you to concentrate on answering the questions without having to worry about remembering all the information. *Please note that you are not allowed to take your own tax tables into the examination.*

Know the structure of the examination

Assessment is by means of a three-hour written paper in two sections. All questions are compulsory:

Section A consists of one case study, worth 80 marks. You will be expected to carry out a variety of tasks, after analysing the information provided.

Section B consists of two shorter case studies worth a total of 80 marks. Again you will be expected to carry out a variety of tasks based upon the information provided.

Each question part will clearly show the maximum marks which can be earned.

Appreciate the standard of the examination

Candidates must demonstrate that they are capable of advising clients *whose overall levels of income and capital require a more sophisticated scheme of investment* than is normally prepared by a level 4 qualified adviser. These clients require a critical appraisal of the various financial planning options available to them.

Read the Assessment information and Exam policies for candidates

The details of administrative arrangements and the regulations which form the basis of your examination entry are available online at www.cii.co.uk/qualifications/assessment-information/introduction/. This is *essential reading* for all candidates. For further information contact Customer Service.

In the examination

The following will help:

Spending your time in accordance with the allocation of marks:

- The marks allocated to each question part are shown on the paper.
- If a question has just two marks allocated, there are likely to be only one or two points for which the examiner is looking for, so a long answer is wasting valuable time.
- Conversely, if a question has 12 marks allocated, a couple of lines will not be an adequate answer. Always remember that if the paper is not completed, your chances of passing will be reduced considerably.
- Do not spend excessive time on any one question; if the time allocation for that question has been used up, leave some space, go on to the next question and return to the incomplete question after you have completed the rest of the paper, if you have time.

Take great care to answer the question that has been set.

- Many candidates leave the examination room confident that they have written a 'good' paper, only to be surprised when they receive a disappointing result. Often, the explanation for this lies in a failure to think carefully about what the examiner requires before putting pen to paper.
- Highlighting key words and phrases is a technique many candidates find useful.
- The model answers provided in this Examination Guide would gain full marks. Alternative answers that cover the same points and therefore answer the question that has been asked would also gain full marks.

Tackling questions

Tackle the three questions in whatever order feels most comfortable. Generally, it is better to leave any questions which you find challenging until you have attempted the questions you are confident about. Candidates should avoid mixing question parts, (for example, 1(a)(i) and (ii) followed by 2(b)(ii) followed by 1(e)(i)) as this often leads to candidates unintentionally failing to fully complete the examination paper. This can make the difference between achieving a pass or a narrow fail.

It is vital to label all parts of your answer correctly as many questions have multiple parts to them (for example, question 1(a) may have parts (i), (ii) and (iii)). Failure to fully distinguish between the separate question parts may mean that full credit cannot be awarded. It is also important to note that a full answer must be given to each question part and candidates should not include notes such as 'refer to answer given in 1(b)(i)'.

Answer format

Unless the question requires you to produce an answer in a particular format, such as a letter or a report, you should use ‘bullet points’ or short paragraphs. The model answers indicate what is acceptable for the different types of question.

Where you are asked to perform a calculation, it is important to show **all** the steps in your answer. The majority of the marks will be allocated for demonstrating the correct method of calculation.

Provided handwriting is legible, candidates will **not** lose marks if it is ‘untidy’. Similarly, marks are not lost due to poor spelling or grammar.

Calculators

If you bring a calculator into the examination room, it must be a silent, battery or solar-powered, **non-programmable** calculator. The use of electronic equipment capable of being programmed to hold alphabetical or numerical data and/or formulae is prohibited. You may use a financial or scientific calculator, provided it meets these requirements. The majority of the marks will be allocated for demonstrating the correct method of calculation.

EXAMINERS' COMMENTS

Candidates' overall performance

Overall, candidates performed well in this paper. The paper presented candidates with a broad test across the syllabus and learning outcomes.

Candidates' style of answering questions and question-parts continues to improve, with a higher proportion of candidates using a succinct, bullet-point style rather than longer, narrative style answers. Candidates paid attention to the available marks and tailored their answers accordingly. This has been shown to produce a more effective style of answer and in many instances candidates who wrote less were awarded more marks due to the relevant and focused nature of their answers.

In contrast, candidates who did not perform well wrote vague answers, often containing duplication and repetition of the same point(s) several times within an individual answer.

A well-prepared candidate having undertaken robust revision would have been able to achieve the pass standard.

Question 1

Candidates performed very well in part (a). In part (a)(i), most candidates knew the Sharpe Ratio, with only a small number of candidates confusing the actual return with the expected return, thereby scoring all the available marks. In part (a)(ii), most candidates identified at least two main differences, being awarded the related Sharpe and Information Ratio marks. In part (a)(iii), almost all candidates scored well.

In part (b)(i) better-prepared candidates demonstrated a detailed knowledge of the REIT rules. In part (b)(ii), almost all candidates correctly identified the dividend taxation but did not calculate the PID correctly. The wide range of incorrect calculations in tax treatment suggests knowledge is not widespread.

In question (c), candidates performed adequately. In part (c)(i), most candidates identified at least two specific risks with those who did not perform well generally repeating definitions of the first mark. In part (c)(ii), candidates performed better than in previous testing of behavioural finance. Some candidates hedged their answer stating up to eight main biases.

In question (d) candidates performed well in both parts (d)(i) and (d)(ii).

Candidates performed well in part (e). There was a noticeable improvement compared to the previous occasion this syllabus area was tested, with candidates providing more focused and accurate answers. As with part (a)(ii), good candidates identified both an alpha characteristic and a related beta difference (or vice versa). A good proportion of candidates identified all three beta marks and at least one alpha mark.

Candidates performed well in question (f). In part (f)(i), candidates who understood the basic TWR calculation were awarded the majority of the available marks. Almost all candidates calculated the correct TWR which was very pleasing given the two periods and introduction of

additional investment during period one. In part (f)(ii), almost all candidates were awarded the first two marks although those candidates who did not perform well gave correct reasons but stated the incorrect formula.

Candidates performed very well in part (g). Those candidates who did not perform mainly wrote an expansive answer about one specific aspect, i.e. their answer was focused upon Capital Gains Tax or Inheritance Tax but not both.

Candidates performed adequately in part (h). Those candidates who did not perform well generally provided vague answers, often repeating their answers to part (c)(i), as well as repeating the definitions for market and concentration risk.

Question 2

In part (a)(i) too many candidates stated general due diligence factors and included income options that should have formed their answer to part (a)(ii). In part (a)(ii) a good proportion of candidates identified the types of income option and were awarded all the available marks.

In part (b)(i) most candidates were awarded full marks by referring back to the case study and those who did not score all the available marks simply failed to show all their working for the third mark. In part (b)(ii), most candidates performed well, and it is pleasing to see that candidates understanding of the withdrawals from and tax treatment of an investment bond have improved since the last time it was tested, with candidates using the case study to gain the relevant marks. It is still a little concerning that some candidates believe an investment produces “an income” and one that is “tax-free”, which reinforces the importance of continuing to test this syllabus area.

It was pleasing to see an overall improvement in candidate performance for part (c), especially as it was a two-part question, testing application in part (c)(ii) rather than just the description, which was the case when this syllabus area was previously tested for the first time as a single-part question. Those candidates who did not score well generally described the effect of a single market fall upon making a single lump sum withdrawal, i.e. “bought high, sold low”. In part (c)(ii), candidates performed well with most scoring at least two marks. Candidates who did not perform well provided explanations based upon the investment of capital, not its withdrawal, with some providing expansive descriptions of pound cost averaging assuming a pattern of regular investment.

Candidates performed poorly in part (d). This syllabus area was tested for the first time although with many companies having ceased their dividends since March 2020, better candidate performance was expected given its media coverage.

In part (e) candidates performed adequately. Most candidates understood correlation in general but their answers were too vague, with many explaining positive and negative correlation – including several who drew images to illustrate it - without actually describing correlation itself. Most candidates scored only one mark.

Candidates performed adequately in part (f). Economics questions continue to challenge candidates although it was pleasing to see that most candidates scored at least one mark. Those candidates who did not perform well answered based upon a capital account.

Question 3

Part (a), candidates performed adequately. In part (a)(i) candidates generally were awarded one to two marks although a number of candidates included negative screening as one of their answers despite its exclusion in the question. In part (a)(ii), better candidates were awarded marks for understanding the specific use of these asset classes within ethical portfolios. Candidates who did not perform well in part (a)(ii) generally listed different sub-types of equities and equity-based products, at variance with the requirements of the question. Candidates answered parts (a)(iii) and (a)(iv) adequately, demonstrating a superficial rather than detailed knowledge of this type of investment.

Candidates performed well in part (b). This was assisted by parts (b)(i) and (b)(ii) where almost all candidates were awarded all the available marks. In part (b)(iii) many candidates got the dividend/earnings relationship the wrong way around for the two companies, despite having identified the correct answers in the preceding question-parts, which suggests superficial knowledge and a lack of application function. In part (b)(iv) a number of candidates attempted to explain the indirect effect on a company's share price rather than the direct effect on the dividend and/or dividend yield.

Candidates performed well in part (c). Candidates were asked to synthesise data from the case study and part (b) evaluate which ratio they would select for the two stated styles. In the main, candidates did this effectively with a good proportion identifying both the correct ratio and one reason for each of the two styles.

Candidates performed well in part (d). Generally there was a high positive correlation between those who performed well in part (c) and who then demonstrated more detailed knowledge in the related part (d) question-parts. Candidates who did not perform well in part (c) did not perform well in part (d), usually getting the positive impact in part (d)(i) and negative impact in part (d)(ii) the wrong way around.

Candidates performed very well in part (e). Most candidates were awarded four or all the available marks. This is not surprising given that ROE/ROCE are some of the core formulae that candidates revise and expect to see tested on a regular basis, although it was pleasing to see that the 'explain' function of the question was answered so well rather than asking just a calculation function given set values. A small number of candidates hedged by stating the same factors both for ROE and ROCE but this was a small proportion.



Chartered
Insurance
Institute

AF4

Advanced Diploma in Financial Planning

Unit AF4 – Investment planning

October 2020 examination

SPECIAL NOTICES

All questions in this paper are based on English law and practice applicable in the tax year 2020/2021, unless stated otherwise in the question, and should be answered accordingly.

It should be assumed that all individuals are domiciled and resident in the UK unless otherwise stated.

Instructions

- Three hours are allowed for this paper.
- **Do not begin writing until the invigilator instructs you to.**
- **Read the instructions on page 3 carefully before answering any questions.**
- Provide the information requested on the answer book and form B.
- You are allowed to write on the inside pages of this question paper, but you must **NOT** write your name, candidate number, PIN or any other identification anywhere on this question paper.
- The answer book and this question paper must **both be handed in personally by you** to the invigilator before you leave the examination room. **Failure to comply with this regulation will result in your paper not being marked and you may be prevented from entering this examination in the future.**

Unit AF4 – Investment planning

Instructions to candidates

Read the instructions below before answering any questions

- **Three hours** are allowed for this paper which carries a total of 160 marks as follows:
- Section A: 80 marks
- Section B: 80 marks
- You are advised to spend approximately 90 minutes on Section A and 90 minutes on Section B.
- You are strongly advised to attempt **all** questions to gain maximum possible marks. The number of marks allocated to each question part is given next to the question and you should spend your time in accordance with that allocation.
- Read carefully all questions and information provided before starting to answer. Your answer will be marked strictly in accordance with the question set.
- You may find it helpful in some places to make rough notes in the answer booklet. If you do this, you should cross through these notes before you hand in the booklet.
- It is important to show all steps in a calculation, even if you have used a calculator.
- If you bring a calculator into the examination room, it must be a silent, battery or solar-powered, non-programmable calculator. The use of electronic equipment capable of being programmed to hold alphabetic or numerical data and/or formulae is prohibited. You may use a financial or scientific calculator, provided it meets these requirements.
- Tax tables are provided at the back of this question paper.
- Answer each question on a new page and leave six lines blank after each question part.

Subject to providing sufficient detail you are advised to be as brief and concise as possible, using note format and short sentences on separate lines wherever possible.

SECTION A

This question is compulsory and carries 80 marks

Question 1

Read carefully all information provided in the case study before attempting the questions. Your answers should take into account the clients' circumstances as set out in the case study. Please carry out ALL of the tasks (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g) and (h) which follow.

Irma and Christopher are single and in their late-40s. They are in a long-term relationship and have been living together for several years. Each retained their own property although Christopher recently sold his apartment and has just received £190,000 net sale proceeds.

They would like to invest this sum with the objective of achieving a target sum of £240,000 in fifteen years' time in real terms.

Irma and Christopher have a small investment portfolio held jointly in a general investment account (GIA). Details of investments made and valuations of the GIA over the past 12 months are set out in Table 1 below.

Table 1

Initial investment	Second investment after 6 months	Valuation after 6 months (including second investment)	Value of GIA after 12 months
£10,000	£5,000	£15,470	£16,800

The GIA invests in a mix of equity and fixed interest collective funds. Irma and Christopher are happy with the performance of the existing equity funds and believe that equities are the only asset class they should consider for the planned new investment. Furthermore, they have read a lot about disruptive technology and robotics and think that thematic-based investing would be a way of generating additional returns.

Irma also holds a FTSE 250 listed Real Estate Investment Trust (REIT) on a direct basis. Relevant information for the REIT is set out in Table 2 below.

Table 2

Actual return	Expected return	Risk-free return	Beta	Standard deviation	Tracking error
8.5%	7.5%	0.35%	1.1	1.97	2.2%

Irma admits to not fully understanding all the details about the REIT, in particular what 'closed-ended' means. She has asked the adviser to explain how both closed-ended and open-ended fund structures could be used for the planned investment.

Questions

To gain maximum marks for calculations you **must** show **all** your workings and express your answer to **two** decimal places.

- (a) (i) Calculate, **showing all your workings**, the Sharpe ratio for the REIT. (4)
- (ii) Explain **three** main differences between the Sharpe ratio and the Information ratio. (6)
- (iii) State **four** drawbacks of using the Sharpe ratio in investment planning. (4)
- (b) (i) State the main rules that a fund must adhere to in order to qualify as a REIT. (8)
- (ii) Irma receives an income payment of £2,950 from the REIT, consisting of £750 property income distribution and a £2,200 dividend.
- Calculate, **showing all your workings**, her Income Tax liability on this payment. Assume Irma is a higher rate taxpayer and this is the only dividend she receives. (7)
- (c) Given their previous experience of equity-based investing, Irma and Christopher decide to invest the £190,000 equally across three UK equity funds.
- (i) Identify **four** main risks relating to the achievement of their objective to which Irma and Christopher would be exposed. (4)
- (ii) From a behavioural finance perspective:
- State **three** main biases that may have influenced their investment decision and provide **one** justification for each bias. (6)
- (d) (i) Outline **six** main reasons why a financial adviser would use an investment trust rather than an open-ended investment company (OEIC) when investing in the same sector of the market. (6)
- (ii) List **four** open-ended fund structures that could be used to invest in UK equities. Exclude OEICs from your answer. (4)
- (e) Explain **three** relative differences between what is measured by alpha and beta. (6)

- (f) (i) Calculate, **showing all your workings**, the time-weighted rate of return (TWR) for the GIA over the twelve-month period shown in **Table 1**. (13)
- (ii) Explain briefly why Irma and Christopher would use the TWR, rather than the money-weighted rate of return (MWR), when evaluating the performance of the GIA. (3)
- (g) Identify **two** aspects of personal taxation that would change if Irma and Christopher were to get married and state how **each** could result in potential tax savings. (4)
- (h) Explain briefly the main **drawbacks** of holding a fund that invests on a single theme or thematic basis. (5)

Total marks available for this question: 80

SECTION B

Both questions in this section are compulsory and carry an overall total of 80 marks

Question 2

Read carefully all information provided in the case study before attempting the questions. Your answers should take into account the client's circumstances as set out in the case study. Please carry out ALL of the tasks (a), (b), (c), (d), (e) and (f) which follow.

Catherine, aged 66, has recently retired. She receives net pension income of £19,500 per annum and has a target net income of £25,000 per annum in order to meet her retirement income needs. Catherine has an initial time horizon of the next ten years.

Catherine has an existing investment portfolio as per the details set out in Table 1 below.

Table 1

Product type	Original value	Current value	Current yield
Stocks and shares ISA	-	£90,000	4.2%
Onshore investment bond	£40,000	£50,000	-

Catherine's stocks and shares ISA consist of UK blue chip equities that Catherine has held since their purchase at initial public offering following privatisation. The 4.2% dividend yield includes both interim and final dividends over the previous 12 month period. As the dividends vary Catherine would like to explore ways of generating a fixed level of income.

The investment bond has been used solely for capital growth since inception but Catherine would now like to explore how this asset can help meet her income objective.

Both investment products are held directly with individual product providers but following a meeting with her financial adviser, the possibility of consolidating the assets onto a platform has been discussed. The adviser is now looking at how a platform could meet Catherine's needs.

The adviser has mentioned to Catherine that as all the ISA investments are in UK blue chip equities, they may have a high level of correlation.

Catherine has sufficient money in her current account to cover her short-term expenditure but may require occasional capital from her portfolio to meet unplanned or discretionary expenditure. She has heard the term 'current account' referred to in respect of the UK economy and has asked the adviser to explain this term.

Questions

To gain maximum marks for calculations you **must** show **all** your workings and express your answer to **two** decimal places.

- (a) (i) Identify the due diligence factors **solely** relating to meeting Catherine's income needs that the adviser would consider when assessing a potential platform. (4)
- (ii) Identify the main income options available via the cash account that would enable a platform to meet Catherine's income needs. (3)
- (b) (i) Calculate, **showing all your workings**, the shortfall in Catherine's target income based upon her current pension and ISA income. (4)
- (ii) Describe how the investment bond could be used to generate the figure in your answer **in part (b)(i)** above and state the basic tax treatment of this figure. (5)
- (c) (i) Briefly describe sequencing risk. (6)
- (ii) State **five** actions that could be taken to mitigate the effects of sequencing risk. (5)
- (d) Identify the main differences between an interim and a final dividend. (6)
- (e) Describe briefly what it meant by the term 'correlation' in relation to investment planning. (3)
- (f) Identify the **four** components of an economy's current account. (4)

Total marks available for this question: 40

Question 3

Read carefully all information provided in the case study before attempting the questions. Your answers should take into account the client's circumstances as set out in the case study. Please carry out ALL of the tasks (a), (b), (c), (d) and (e) which follow.

Gregor is an investment adviser within an authorised firm. Following an initial meeting with a new retail client, he is analysing the client's existing investment portfolio and considering potential solutions to meet their investment needs.

The client has an existing portfolio of collective funds that are invested in UK and international equities. The portfolio is currently valued at £130,000 and in line with the client's ethical preference, all of the funds apply a negative screening approach. Following the maturity of a long-term savings plan, the client has received £60,000 and wishes to add this capital to their investment portfolio.

In addition to the portfolio, the client also owns two UK-listed equities on a direct basis, details of which are set out in Table 1 below.

Table 1

Equity	Share price	Earnings per share	Price earnings ratio	Dividend per share	Dividend cover
Azure Tree plc	151p	7.6p	19.87	7.9p	-
Sienna Wall plc	127p	28p	4.54	15p	1.87

The client relies upon the dividends from these two equities to provide a proportion of their income requirement. The client has given Gregor the recent annual reports for both companies and commented that they have widely differing return on equity (ROE) and return on capital employed (ROCE) figures.

Questions

To gain maximum marks for calculations you **must** show **all** your workings and express your answers to **two** decimal places.

- (a) (i) State the main forms of ethical investment.
Exclude negative screening from your answer. (3)
- (ii) Identify which other non-equity asset classes could be used for the new money, to diversify the existing portfolio while maintaining an overall ethical approach. (4)
- (iii) Explain **three** reasons why an equity-based ethical investment strategy could out-perform an equity-based non-ethical investment strategy. (3)
- (iv) State **four** fund-specific factors that an adviser would consider when researching ethical funds for potential inclusion in the portfolio. (4)
- (b) (i) Calculate, **showing all your workings**, the dividend yield for Azure Tree plc. (3)
- (ii) Calculate, **showing all your workings**, the dividend cover for Azure Tree plc. (3)
- (iii) State **two** reasons for the difference in dividend cover between Azure Tree plc and Sienna Wall plc. (2)
- (iv) Identify **three** implications to a company of paying out an uncovered dividend. (3)
- (c) From the financial information for each company contained in **Table 1**:

Identify **one** ratio that would appeal to a growth-orientated fund manager and **one** ratio that would appeal to a value-orientated fund manager and **state two** reasons for **each** selection. (6)
- (d) Gregor believes that the economy is moving into the latter stages of the business cycle.
- (i) State **two** reasons why this view would likely have a positive impact on **Sienna Wall plc's** share price in the short to medium term. (2)
- (ii) State **two** reasons why this view would likely have a negative impact on **Azure Tree plc's** share price in the short to medium term. (2)
- (e) Explain to the client the main differences between ROE and ROCE. (5)

Total marks available for this question: 40

NOTE ON MODEL ANSWERS

The model answers given are those which would achieve maximum marks. However, there are alternative answers to some question parts which would also gain high marks. For the sake of clarity and brevity not all of these alternative answers are shown. An oblique (/) indicates an equally acceptable alternative answer.

Model answer for Question 1

- (a) (i) $8.5 - 0.35/1.97 = 4.137055 = 4.14$
- (ii) *Candidates would have scored full marks for any six of the following:*
- IR uses benchmark;
 - Sharpe uses risk-free return.
 - IR is relative/can compare funds;
 - Sharpe is absolute.
 - IR measures consistency over time;
 - Sharpe does not.
 - IR uses tracking error;
 - Sharpe uses standard deviation.
- (iii) • Need to consider other factors/trends over time/do not consider in isolation.
 • Can be distorted by fund/manager's strategy.
 • Assumes normal distribution of returns/reliant upon standard deviation.
 • Can be distorted by illiquidity/volatility/trading frequency/costs.
- (b) (i) *Candidates would have scored full marks for any eight of the following:*
- UK resident/listed.
 - Closed-ended/only one share class.
 - At least 75% of profits;
 - at least 75% of total assets;
 - relate to property rental/ring-fenced business.
 - Interest/borrowing coverage;
 - at least 125%.
 - At least 90% of profits;
 - paid out/distributed;
 - within 12 months/one year.
- (b) (ii) **PID**
 $£750/80 \times 100 = £937.50 \times 20\% = £187.50$
- Dividend**
 $£2,200 - £2,000 = £200$
 $£200 \times 32.5\% = £65$
 Total $£187.50 + £65 = £252.50$

- (c) (i) *Candidates would have scored full marks for any four of the following:*
- Market/systematic/volatility.
 - Shortfall.
 - Inflation.
 - Concentration/all in UK equities/lack of diversification.
 - Accessibility/liquidity.
 - Fund specific event.
- (ii) *Candidates would have scored full marks for any six of the following:*
- **Hindsight**
 - UK equities have done well in the past.
 - **Mental accounting**
 - Their money for their objective/known target value.
 - **Endowment effect/divestiture aversion**
 - Already own equities/why change.
 - **Overconfidence**
 - Market timing/they know best/UK equities will be best asset class.
- (d) (i) *Candidates would have scored full marks for any six of the following:*
- Charges likely to be lower.
 - Gearing/borrowing.
 - Discount/price arbitrage/higher running yield.
 - More flexible/less diversification.
 - Ability of board to change/select manager.
 - Greater accessibility/liquidity/do not have to sell underlying investments.
 - More suitable structure to hold specialist/niche investments/wider range of investments.
 - Dealing frequency/real-time pricing.
- (ii) *Candidates would have scored full marks for any four of the following:*
- Unit trust.
 - Undertakings for Collective Investment in Transferable Securities (UCITS)/Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable.
 - Exchange-Traded Fund.
 - Non-UCITS Retail Schemes.
 - Life fund/investment bond.
- (e)
- Beta measures market risk;
 - alpha measures difference between actual return and expected return (implied by Beta)/not explained by CAPM.
 - Beta explained by movements/correlation/in relation to market;
 - alpha not explained by movements in market.
 - Beta measures volatility;
 - alpha measures manager value/stock-picking.

- (f) (i) Period 1
 $(£15,470 - £5,000 - £10,000)/£10,000 = 0.047 = 4.7\%$
- Period 2
 $(£16,800 - £15,470)/£15,470 = 0.08597 = 8.6\%$
- $(1 + 0.047) \times (1 + 0.08597) - 1 = 0.13701 \times 100 = 13.7\%$
- (ii)
- TWR not influenced by money added/new investment.
 - TWR focuses on individual manager skill/performance.
 - TWR compounds multiple periods/shows change over entire period.
- (g) *Candidates would have scored full marks for any four of the following:*
- Inheritance Tax;
 - unlimited spousal exemption/transferable nil rate band.
 - Capital Gains Tax;
 - inter spousal disposal exempt.
 - Income Tax;
 - marriage allowance/transfer of £1,250/10% of Personal Allowance.
- (h) *Candidates would have scored full marks for any five of the following:*
- Smaller investment universe/fewer managers with experience.
 - Costs likely to be higher.
 - Dealing frequency of fund/illiquidity of underlying holdings.
 - Lack of common terminology/inconsistent application.
 - Higher volatility/beta.
 - Lack of diversification/greater non-systematic risk.
 - Risk of fund closure/short lived/implementation risk/theme being closed.

Model answer for Question 2

- (a) (i) *Candidates would have scored full marks for any four of the following:*
- Ability to hold existing assets/equities.
 - Ability to continue existing income uninterrupted.
 - Cash account minimum balance/interest rate.
 - Charging structure.
 - Range of income yielding funds available.
- (ii)
- Ability to pay natural income.
 - Ability to pay regular income/fixed income.
 - Ability to pay ad-hoc/one-off withdrawals.
- (b) (i) $\text{£}90,000 \times 4.2\% = \text{£}3,780$
 $\text{£}3,780 + \text{£}19,500 = \text{£}23,280$
 $\text{£}25,000 - \text{£}23,280 = \text{£}1,720$
- (ii)
- Take withdrawals;
 - of up to 5%/£2,000 per annum;
 - of original investment.
 - Tax deferred/no immediate Income Tax liability;
 - up to initial 10 years/up to 20 years/surrender/death.
- (c) (i)
- Effect of volatility/fluctuation;
 - on the order;
 - and timing/frequency of withdrawals;
 - and sustainability of income;
 - and impact on capital value.
 - Effect greater in early years.
- (ii) *Candidates would have scored full marks for any five of the following:*
- Reduce/suspend the level of income.
 - Change the frequency/order of income.
 - Take only natural income.
 - Extend time horizon.
 - Secure proportion of income/purchase annuity.
 - Diversification/change asset allocation/buy higher yielding assets.
 - Hold more/at least 6 months in cash.

- (d) *Candidates would have scored full marks for any six of the following:*
- Interim declared during financial year/before AGM.
 - Final declared after financial year/at AGM.

 - Interim declared by board.
 - Final declared by shareholders.

 - Interim can be revoked.
 - Final cannot be revoked.
 - Interim only if Articles expressly permit.
 - Final not subject to Articles/right of shareholders.
- (e)
- Covariance/relationship between;
 - a pair/two assets;
 - adjusted for the risk.
- (f)
- Goods.
 - Services.
 - Investment income/overseas earnings.
 - Transfer payments/capital and asset movement.

Model answer for Question 3

- (a) (i) *Candidates would have scored full marks for any three of the following:*
- Positive screening/engagement.
 - CSR/SRI/Sharia finance/responsible.
 - ESG.
 - Impact.
- (ii)
 - Fixed interest/green bonds/charity bonds.
 - Infrastructure/renewable energy.
 - P2P/social impact.
 - Property/social housing/education.
- (iii) *Candidates would have scored full marks for any three of the following:*
- Small cap focus shown to outperform.
 - Greater concentration.
 - Invest at the start of a trend/increase in demand.
 - Government subsidies/support/less political/legal risk.
- (iv) *Candidates would have scored full marks for any four of the following:*
- Appropriateness of benchmark.
 - Ethical criteria/mandate of the fund/United Nations Sustainable Development Goals.
 - Manager's skill/track record/experience.
 - Ethical stance of management group itself.
 - Is it aligned with client's ethical views?
- (b) (i) $7.9/151 = 5.23\%$
- (ii) $7.6/7.9 = 0.96$
- (iii)
 - Sienna Wall earnings more than dividend/retaining more profit.
 - Azure Tree earnings less than dividend/maintaining dividend.
- (iv) *Candidates would have scored full marks for any three of the following:*
- May have to cut/reduce dividend;
 - unless one off/bad year.
 - Use reserves/future profits.
 - May borrow/raise capital.

(c) Growth

- P/E ratio.
- High P/E suggests profits expected to rise quickly/future growth.
- Share price increase.

Value

- Dividend yield or dividend cover or low P/E ratio.
- High dividend gives high income/high cover gives consistency to income/under-valued.
- Share price supported by income available/greater upside.

- (d) (i)**
- Defensive/low P/E stocks become more attractive/increased demand.
 - Relative value of dividend/yield increases/well-covered.

(ii) *Candidates would have scored full marks for any two of the following:*

- Growth/high P/E stocks become less attractive/falling demand.
- Equity risk premium reduces/share price too high/mean reversion.
- Future profits/growth less certain.

- (e)**
- ROCE considers all assets used in business/return for all sources of capital;
 - including debt/borrowing;
 - profit measured as earnings before interest and tax/operating profit.
 - ROCE useful to compare individual companies and their efficiency.
-
- ROE based on equity investment only/shareholder return/funds.

All questions in the March 2021 paper will be based on English law and practice applicable in the tax year 2020/2021, unless stated otherwise and should be answered accordingly.

The Tax Tables which follow are applicable to the October 2020 and March 2021 examinations.

INCOME TAX

RATES OF TAX	2019/2020	2020/2021
Starting rate for savings*	0%	0%
Basic rate	20%	20%
Higher rate	40%	40%
Additional rate	45%	45%
Starting-rate limit	£5,000*	£5,000*
Threshold of taxable income above which higher rate applies	£37,500	£37,500
Threshold of taxable income above which additional rate applies	£150,000	£150,000
Child benefit charge:		
1% of benefit for every £100 of income over	£50,000	£50,000
*Only applicable to savings income that falls within the first £5,000 of income in excess of the personal allowance		
Dividend Allowance		£2,000
Dividend tax rates		
Basic rate		7.5%
Higher rate		32.5%
Additional rate		38.1%
Trusts		
Standard rate band		£1,000
Rate applicable to trusts		
- dividends		38.1%
- other income		45%
MAIN PERSONAL ALLOWANCES AND RELIEFS		
Income limit for Personal Allowance §	£100,000	£100,000
Personal Allowance (basic) §	£12,500	£12,500
Married/civil partners (minimum) at 10% †	£3,450	£3,510
Married/civil partners at 10% †	£8,915	£9,075
Marriage Allowance	£1,250	£1,250
Income limit for Married Couple's Allowance †	£29,600	£30,200
Rent a Room scheme – tax free income allowance	£7,500	£7,500
Blind Person's Allowance	£2,450	£2,500
Enterprise Investment Scheme relief limit on £2,000,000 max**	30%	30%
Seed Enterprise Investment relief limit on £100,000 max	50%	50%
Venture Capital Trust relief limit on £200,000 max	30%	30%
<i>§ the Personal Allowance reduces by £1 for every £2 of income above the income limit irrespective of age (under the income threshold).</i>		
<i>† where at least one spouse/civil partner was born before 6 April 1935.</i>		
<i>** Investment above £1,000,000 must be in knowledge-intensive companies.</i>		
Child Tax Credit (CTC)		
- Child element per child (maximum)	£2,780	£2,830
- family element	£545	£545
Threshold for tapered withdrawal of CTC	£16,105	£16,385

NATIONAL INSURANCE CONTRIBUTIONS

Class 1 Employee	Weekly
Lower Earnings Limit (LEL)	£120
Primary threshold	£183
Upper Earnings Limit (UEL)	£962

Total earnings £ per week	CLASS 1 EMPLOYEE CONTRIBUTIONS
Up to 183.00*	Nil
183.00 – 962.00	12%
Above 962.00	2%

**This is the primary threshold below which no NI contributions are payable. However, the lower earnings limit is £120 per week. This £120 to £183 band is a zero-rate band introduced in order to protect lower earners' rights to contributory State benefits e.g. the new State Pension.*

Total earnings £ per week	CLASS 1 EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS
Below 169.00**	Nil
169.00 – 962.00	13.8%
Excess over 962.00	13.8%

*** Secondary earnings threshold.*

Class 2 (self-employed)	Flat rate per week £3.05 where profits exceed £6,475 per annum.
Class 3 (voluntary)	Flat rate per week £15.30.
Class 4 (self-employed)	9% on profits between £9,500 - £50,000. 2% on profits above £50,000.

PENSIONS

TAX YEAR	LIFETIME ALLOWANCE
2006/2007	£1,500,000
2007/2008	£1,600,000
2008/2009	£1,650,000
2009/2010	£1,750,000
2010/2011	£1,800,000
2011/2012	£1,800,000
2012/2013	£1,500,000
2013/2014	£1,500,000
2014/2015	£1,250,000
2015/2016	£1,250,000
2016/2017	£1,000,000
2017/2018	£1,000,000
2018/2019	£1,030,000
2019/2020	£1,055,000
2020/2021	£1,073,100

LIFETIME ALLOWANCE CHARGE

55% of excess over lifetime allowance if taken as a lump sum.

25% of excess over lifetime allowance if taken in the form of income.

ANNUAL ALLOWANCE

TAX YEAR	ANNUAL ALLOWANCE
2015/2016	£40,000~
2016/2017	£40,000*
2017/2018	£40,000*
2018/2019	£40,000*
2019/2020	£40,000*
2020/2021	£40,000*

~ increased to £80,000 for pension input between April - 8 July 2015. If not used, can be carried forward to pension input period of 9 July 2015 - 6 April 2016, subject to a maximum of £40,000.

*tapered by £1 for every £2 of 'adjusted income' over £240,000 to a minimum of £4,000 if 'threshold income' is also over £200,000.

MONEY PURCHASE ANNUAL ALLOWANCE	2019/2020	2020/2021
	£4,000	£4,000

ANNUAL ALLOWANCE CHARGE

20% - 45% determined by the member's taxable income and the amount of total pension input in excess of the annual allowance or money purchase annual allowance.

CAPITAL GAINS TAX

EXEMPTIONS	2019/2020	2020/2021
Individuals, estates etc	£12,000	£12,300
Trusts generally	£6,000	£6,150
Chattels proceeds (restricted to five thirds of proceeds exceeding limit)	£6,000	£6,000

TAX RATES

Individuals:		
Up to basic rate limit	10%	10%
Above basic rate limit	20%	20%
Surcharge for residential property and carried interest	8%	8%
Trustees and Personal Representatives		
	20%	20%
	10%	10%
Business Asset Disposal Relief* – Gains taxed at:		
Lifetime limit	£10,000,000	£1,000,000

**For trading businesses and companies (minimum 5% employee or director shareholding) if held for at least two years.*

INHERITANCE TAX

RATES OF TAX ON TRANSFERS	2019/2020	2020/2021
Transfers made on death		
- Up to £325,000	Nil	Nil
- Excess over £325,000	40%	40%
Transfers		
- Lifetime transfers to and from certain trusts	20%	20%

A lower rate of 36% applies where at least 10% of deceased's net estate is left to a registered charity.

MAIN EXEMPTIONS

Transfers to		
- UK-domiciled spouse/civil partner	No limit	No limit
- non-UK-domiciled spouse/civil partner (from UK-domiciled spouse)	£325,000	£325,000
- main residence nil rate band*	£150,000	£175,000
- UK-registered charities	No limit	No limit

**Available for estates up to £2,000,000 and then tapered at the rate of £1 for every £2 in excess until fully extinguished.*

Lifetime transfers		
- Annual exemption per donor	£3,000	£3,000
- Small gifts exemption	£250	£250
Wedding/civil partnership gifts by		
- parent	£5,000	£5,000
- grandparent/bride and/or groom	£2,500	£2,500
- other person	£1,000	£1,000

100% relief: businesses, unlisted/AIM companies, certain farmland/building

50% relief: certain other business assets

Reduced tax charge on gifts within 7 years of death:

	0-3	3-4	4-5	5-6	6-7
- Years before death	0-3	3-4	4-5	5-6	6-7
- Inheritance Tax payable	100%	80%	60%	40%	20%

Quick succession relief:

	0-1	1-2	2-3	3-4	4-5
- Years since IHT paid	0-1	1-2	2-3	3-4	4-5
- Inheritance Tax relief	100%	80%	60%	40%	20%

PRIVATE VEHICLES USED FOR WORK

	2019/2020 Rates	2020/2021 Rates
Cars		
On the first 10,000 business miles in tax year	45p per mile	45p per mile
Each business mile above 10,000 business miles	25p per mile	25p per mile
Motor Cycles	24p per mile	24p per mile
Bicycles	20p per mile	20p per mile

MAIN CAPITAL AND OTHER ALLOWANCES

	2019/2020	2020/2021
Plant & machinery (excluding cars) 100% annual investment allowance (first year)	£1,000,000	£1,000,000
Plant & machinery (reducing balance) per annum	18%	18%
Patent rights & know-how (reducing balance) per annum	25%	25%
Certain long-life assets, integral features of buildings (reducing balance) per annum	6%	6%
Energy & water-efficient equipment	100%	100%
Zero emission goods vehicles (new)	100%	100%
Electric charging points	100%	100%
Qualifying flat conversions, business premises & renovations	100%	100%

Motor cars: Expenditure on or after 01 April 2016 (Corporation Tax) or 06 April 2016 (Income Tax)

CO ₂ emissions of g/km:	50 or less*	51-110	111 or more
Capital allowance:	100%	18%	6%
	first year	reducing balance	reducing balance

*If new

MAIN SOCIAL SECURITY BENEFITS

		2019/2020	2020/2021
		£	£
Child Benefit	First child	20.70	21.05
	Subsequent children	13.70	13.95
	Guardian's allowance	17.20	17.90
Employment and Support Allowance	Assessment Phase		
	Age 16 - 24	Up to 57.90	Up to £58.90
	Aged 25 or over	Up to 73.10	Up to £74.35
	Main Phase		
	Work Related Activity Group Support Group	Up to 102.15 Up to 111.65	Up to 74.35 Up to 113.55
Attendance Allowance	Lower rate	58.70	59.70
	Higher rate	87.65	89.15
Basic State Pension	Single	129.20	134.25
	Married	201.45	268.50
Single Tier State Pension	Single	168.60	175.20
Pension Credit	Single person standard minimum guarantee	167.25	173.75
	Married couple standard minimum guarantee	255.25	265.20
	Maximum savings ignored in calculating income	10,000.00	10,000.00
Bereavement Support Payment	Higher rate – First payment	3,500.00	3,500.00
	Higher rate – monthly payment	350.00	350.00
	Lower rate – First payment	2,500.00	2,500.00
	Lower rate – monthly payment	100.00	100.00
Jobseeker's Allowance	Age 18 - 24	57.90	58.90
	Age 25 or over	73.10	74.35
Statutory Maternity, Paternity and Adoption Pay		148.68	151.20

CORPORATION TAX

	2019/2020	2020/2021
Standard rate	19%	19%

VALUE ADDED TAX

	2019/2020	2020/2021
Standard rate	20%	20%
Annual registration threshold	£85,000	£85,000
Deregistration threshold	£83,000	£83,000

STAMP DUTY LAND TAX

	Residential
Value up to £125,000	0%
£125,001 - £250,000	2%
£250,001 and £925,000	5%
£925,001 and £1,500,000	10%
£1,500,001 and over	12%

Important note: For residential properties purchased between 8th July 2020 and 31st March 2021, Stamp Duty Land Tax does not apply up to £500,000. For purchases above £500,000, the band rates above apply as normal. Additional SDLT rules still apply as below.

Stamp Duty Land Tax (SDLT) is payable in England and Northern Ireland only. Land Transaction Tax (LTT) is payable in Wales and Land and Buildings Transaction Tax (LBTT) is payable in Scotland. The rates for LTT and LBTT are different to the rates shown above.

Additional SDLT of 3% may apply to the purchase of additional residential properties purchased for £40,000 or greater.

SDLT may be charged at 15% on interests in residential dwellings costing more than £500,000 purchased by certain corporate bodies or non-natural persons.

First-time buyers benefit from SDLT relief on purchases up to £500,000 when purchasing their main residence. On purchases up to £300,000, no SDLT is payable. On purchases between £300,000 and £500,000, a flat rate of 5% is charged on the balance above £300,000.

	Non residential
Value up to £150,000	0%
£150,001 and £250,000	2%
£250,001 and over	5%